PECKING OF CENTERS TOO

INITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Charles C. Packham et al.

Art Unit: 3724

Serial No.: 09/422,758

Examiner: C. Goodman

Filed

: October 21, 1999

Title

: SHAVING SYSTEMS AND FOILS

Mail Stop Appeal Brief - Patents

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

REPLY BRIEF

Pursuant to 37 CFR 1.193(b)(1), Applicant responds to the new points raised in the Examiner's Answer as follows.

A. The Filing of an Information Disclosure Statement Does not Create a Presumption of **Enablement of Cited References**

At page 6 of the Answer, the Examiner states:

Praniko is a reference that the Appellant cited in the IDS filed on September 25, 2000, Paper No. 9. The fact that the Appellant had submitted this reference carries a presumption that the reference is enabling. Under 37 CFR §1.56, the Appellant fulfilled the Appellant's duty to disclose information that is material to the patentability of the invention in the manner prescribed by §§ 1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. Therefore, any of the references that the Appellant cites is presumed to be enabling to the extent they are presumed to be material to the patentability of the invention.

The Examiner's logic fails in the assumption that the listing of a reference in an IDS is an admission of materiality. This is clear error. As is provided in 37 CFR 1.97(h):

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING BY FIRST CLASS MAIL

I hereby certify under 37 CFR §1.8(a) that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail with sufficient postage on the date indicated below and is addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

June 17, 200

Date of Deposit

Signatur

<u>Jennifer Leveille</u>

Typed or Printed Name of Person Signing Certificate

Applicant: Charles C. Packham et al.

Serial No.: 09/422,758 Filed: October 21, 1999

Page : 2

The filing of an information disclosure statement shall not be construed to be an admission that the information cited in the statement is, or is considered to be, material to patentablity as defined in § 1.56(b).

Applicants otherwise stand by their arguments at pages 7-11 of their brief as to non-enablement of Pranjko.

B. Pranjko Does not Disclose Features of the Independent Claims

Because the Prankjo reference consists of drawings and has no text, the drawings must speak for themselves. If the drawings are vague or equivocal with respect to a claim feature-i.e., everything that is shown is consistent with having a particular claim feature and is also consistent with not having that claim feature--, then the drawings do not disclose that feature explicitly or under principles of inherency, and the rejection on anticipation must fail. This is not a case where the text can clear up something that is vague or equivocal from the drawings alone.

At pages 9-12, the Examiner in essence says that he does not see dips or flats but instead sees smoothly curved surfaces such that the various limitations of claims 1, 16, 17 and 50 are met. Applicants submit that, while the drawings may not actually show a dip or a flat surface, they are so vague that they are consistent with depicting a device having a dip or a flat surface and thus do not explicitly or inherently disclose the curved surfaces required by independent claims 1,16, 17 and 50, and the rejection of these claims as anticipated by Pranjko must fail.

While applicants have pointed out some of the deficiencies in the reasoning in the Examiner's Answer, applicants' failure herein to address other points in the Answer should not in any way be deemed an admission or acquiescence in other arguments raised therein.

Attorney's Docket No. 02894-596001

Applicant: Charles C. Packham et al.

Serial No.: 09/422,758 Filed: October 21, 1999

Page: 3

For these reasons, and the reasons stated in the Appeal Brief, Applicant submits that the final rejection should be reversed.

Please apply any charges or credits to Deposit Account No. 06-1050.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 1,2004

William E. Booth Reg. No. 28,933

Fish & Richardson P.C. 225 Franklin Street Boston, MA 02110-2804

Telephone: (617) 542-5070 Facsimile: (617) 542-8906

c306ea02.doc